UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,668	03/10/2004	Hoi Shan Kwan	17329-002001 / 04F050US 4157	
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 01/12/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/797,668	KWAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·	• •			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	ctober 2006.	i.			
,—		action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
•	 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-28,34 and 39-51 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vare wardrawn nom consideration	•			
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5, 30-33, 35-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
•	· · ·	4				
·· _	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is in response to the papers filed October 24, 2006. Currently, claims 1-51 are pending. Claims 6-28, 34, and 39-51 have been withdrawn as drawn to non-elected subject matter.

- 2. All arguments have been thoroughly reviewed but are deemed non-persuasive for the reasons which follow. This action is made FINAL.
- 3. Any objections and rejections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn.

Maintained Rejections

Election/Restrictions

4. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-15, 29-41 in the paper filed May 12, 2006 is acknowledged.

The response picks the combination of SEQ ID NO: 9 and 13 to amplify the variable region. The response further asserts that SEQ ID NO; 9, 14-16 are not unrelated to one another. This argument has been thoroughly reviewed, but not persuasive because the primers hybridize to different variable regions of different plants.

Claims 6-28, 34, and 39-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the paper filed May 12, 2006.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,668

Art Unit: 1634

Page 3

This application contains claims 6-28, 34, and 39-51 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the paper filed May 12, 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37)

CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

In the event that Claims 1 and 29 which appear generic to the non-elected sequences, are allowed, the withdrawn claims drawn to the methods requiring particular sequences would be rejoined as dependant on an allowable generic claim.

Priority

5. This application claims priority to provisional application 60/453,842, filed March 10, 2003.

Drawings

6. The drawings are acceptable.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: the last word of Claim 29 appears misspelled. The claim appears to be more appropriate with the word "plant". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 2-5, 30-33, 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) Claims 2 and 3 are directed to "the variable regions." Claim 1 has been amended to "a variable region". The claims no longer recite multiple regions. Thus, Claims 2-5 lack proper antecedent basis of "regions."
- B) Claims 30-31 are directed to "the variable regions." Claim 29 has been amended to "a variable region". The claims no longer recite multiple regions. Thus, Claims 30-33, 35-38 lack proper antecedent basis of "regions."

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/797,668

Art Unit: 1634

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571) 272-0735.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg

Primary Examiner January 8, 2007